

ORIGINAL Rec. 8/16/99



EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

City of Fontana CALIFORNIA

August 5, 1999

99-217
96-98

RECEIVED

DEC 14 1999

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Chairman William Kennard
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Chairman Kennard:

Please do not adopt the rule proposed in these cases allowing any telephone company to serve any tenant of a building and to place their antenna on the building roof.

In some states, 70 or more new phone companies have been certificated to provide service. Add in the wireless phone companies and under your rule you may have, 100 companies allowed to place their wires in a building, and their antennas on the roof-all without the landlord's permission.

The FCC lacks the authority to do this. It would violate basic property rights-a landlord, city or condominium has the right to control who comes on their property. Congress did not give the FCC the authority to condemn space for 100 phone companies in every building in the country.

The FCC cannot preempt state and local building codes, zoning ordinances, environmental legislation and other laws affecting antennas on roofs. Zoning and building codes are purely matters of state and local jurisdiction, which under Federalism and the Tenth Amendment you may not preempt.

For example, building codes are imposed in part for engineering related safety reasons. These vary by region, weather patterns and building type-such as the likelihood of earthquakes, hurricanes and maximum amount of snow and ice. If antennas are too heavy or too high roofs collapse. If they are not properly secured, they will blow over and damage the building, its inhabitants or passers-by.

Similarly, zoning laws are matters of local concern which protect and promote the public health, safety and welfare, ensure compatibility of uses, preserve property values and the character of our communities. We may restrict the numbers, types, locations, size and aesthetics of antennas on buildings (such as requiring them to be properly screened) to achieve these legitimate goals, yet see that needed services are provided. This requires us to balance

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

Chairman Kennard

August 6, 1999

Page Two

competing concerns-which we do every day, with success. Everyone wants garbage picked up, no one wants a transfer station. Everyone wants electricity, no one wants a substation near their home.

The application of zoning principles is highly dependent on local conditions. These vary greatly state by state, from municipality to municipality and within municipalities. We have successfully applied these principles and balanced competing concerns for over eighty years. Zoning has not unnecessarily impeded technology or the development of our economy, nor will it here. There is simply no basis to conclude that for a brand-new technology (wireless fixed telephones) with a miniscule track record that there are problems on such a massive scale with the 38,000 units of local government in the U.S. as to warrant Federal action.

On rights of way, local management of them is essential to protect the public health, safety and welfare. We believe the telephone providers' complaints about rights-of-way management and fees are overblown, as show by the smaller number of court cases on this-only about a dozen nationwide in the three years since the 1996 Act. With 38,000 municipalities nationwide and thousands of phone companies this number of cases shows that the system is working, not that it is broken.

Finally, we are surprised that you suggest that the combined Federal, state and local tax burden on new phone companies is too high. The FCC has no authority to affect state or local taxes any more than it can affect Federal taxes.

For these reasons please reject the proposed rule and take no action on rights of way and taxes.

Respectfully,

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Planning Division

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Debbie Brazill".

Planning Manager, Debbie Brazill

Chairman Kennard
August 6, 1999
Page Three

cc:

Commissioner Harold Furchgott-Roth
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

Commissioner Mike Powell
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

Commissioner Gloria Tristani
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

Mr. Kevin McCarty
Assistant Executive Director
U.S. Conference of Mayors
1620 I Street
Fourth Floor
Washington, DC 20554

Ms. Barrie Tabin
Legislative Council
National League of Cities
1301 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
6th Floor
Washington, DC 20004

Mr. Jefferey Steinberg
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

Mr. Joel Tauenblatt
Wireless Communications Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

International Transcription Services
445 12th Street SW
Room CY-B402
Washington, DC 20554
Mr. Robert Fogel
Associate Legislative Director
National Association of Counties
440 First Street, N.W.
8th Floor
Washington, DC 20001

Mr. Lee Ruck
Executive Director
NATO
1650 Tysons Road
Suite 200
McLean, VA 22101-3915

Mr. Thomas Frost
Vice President, Engineering Services
BOCA International
4051 West Flossmoor Road
Country Club Hills, IL 60478

George E. Brown Jr.
United States Representative-42nd District
2300 Rayburn Building
Washington, DC 20515

Diane Feinstein
United States Senator-California
331 Hart Building
Washington, DC 20510

Barbara Boxer
United States Senator-California
112 Hart Building
Washington, DC 20510